

1
2
3
4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 PETROLEUM SALES, INC. No. C-05-3526 SBA (JCS)

9 Plaintiff,

ORDER FOR ADDITIONAL BRIEFING

10 || v.

11 VALERO REFINING COMPANY
-CALIFORNIA, ET AL.,

Defendants.

15 At oral argument on Defendant’s Attorneys’ Fees Motion, the Court ordered Defendant to
16 provide a break-down of all hours billed by each attorney and the applicable rates in order to allow
17 the Court to calculate and/or adjust the lodestar amount. The Court also asked that Defendant
18 provide the dates on which each billing rate was raised. On May 4, 2007, Defendant filed an
19 additional declaration that contained a break-down of hours billed and applicable rates. The total
20 amount on that break-down, however, does not correspond to the amount sought on the Motion.
21 Rather, it exceeds the amount sought on the Motion by some \$30,000. Defendant notes in a footnote
22 that the “amount billed” for two of the listed attorneys includes fees that were *not*, in fact, billed but
23 rather were “written off,” which apparently accounts for this discrepancy. Defendant fails to
24 identify the specific amounts that were “written off.”

25 Defendant is ordered to provide the Court a revised break-down of the time and rates for
26 which fees are sought on the Motion. The Court expects that the total fees billed will be the same

28

1 amount as Defendant requested in the Motion. Any discrepancy must be explained in detail.¹ If the
2 break-down includes fees that were “written off,” Defendant shall identify the exact amount for each
3 attorney that was written off, as well as the *specific time entries* that were written-off. Defendant
4 shall also provide the *exact* date for each attorney on which any billing rate was raised, as previously
5 requested by the Court.

6 **Defendant shall file a revised break-down, including the above-described information**
7 **regarding billing rates, no later than Wednesday, May 16, 2007. Plaintiff shall be permitted to**
8 **file a response no later than Friday, May 18, 2007.**

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated:

11 
12 JOSEPH C. SPERO
13 United States Magistrate Judge

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 ¹The Court does not, however, authorize Defendant to seek fees for additional time that has not
27 already been brought to the Court’s attention.

28